

25X1A

██████████-2567-63/A
Copy 5 of 8

MEMORANDUM FOR : Deputy Director (Research)
SUBJECT : Recommendation for OICART Camera
Procurement

1. This memorandum contains recommendations for approval by Deputy Director (Research) in paragraph 4.
2. The attached Situation Report of OICART cameras reflects the current status of project camera developments.
3. It is essential that a sufficient inventory of usable cameras be maintained to meet operational commitments while retaining enough flexibility to convert to better, more reliable or higher resolution systems if they become available.
4. Recommendations:
 - a. Action be initiated to procure glass blanks and other long lead time items for the fifth and sixth Perkin Elmer cameras.
 - b. Production of the fourth Perkin Elmer camera be authorized, since the glass elements for this camera are already on order.
 - c. Pacing items for the Type IV Nycon HR 333 camera be procured for three cameras in order that lead times may be reduced in the event that it is found expedient to order three cameras in September 1963.

25X1A

Handle via ██████████
Control System

25X1A

[REDACTED]

Page 2

B. Cost Estimate:

a. Perkin Elmer camera and long lead time items:

	<u>FY 63</u>	<u>FY 64</u>	<u>Total</u>
25X1A Complete Perkin Elmer Camera #4	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
25X1A Long Lead Items Glass Blanks for Perkin Elmer Cameras #5 and #6	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

Delivery: The fourth Perkin Elmer camera can be delivered in 10-12 months.
The fifth camera: 12-15 months.
The sixth camera: 13-16 months.

b. Estimate of long lead time pricing items for the Type IV Hycon Camera system:

	<u>FY 63</u>	<u>FY 64</u>
25X1A 3 sets mirrors	Place order	[REDACTED]
3 lens systems	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
Total		[REDACTED]

The first lenses and mirrors can be delivered within nine months, the balance at a one per month rate.

JACK C. LEUFORD
COLONEL, USAF
Assistant Director
(Special Activities)

Recommendations in paragraph 4 approved:

Signed Herbert Scoville, Jr.
HERBERT SCOVILLE, JR. 127 MAY 1962
Deputy Director
(Research)

Att: Situation Report [REDACTED] 2567-63)
Project Status Chart

25X1A

25X1A

2567-63
Copy 1 of 3

21 111

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT : Situation Report - OXCART Cameras

1. The Perkin Elmer so-called Type I camera was initially ordered in a quantity of six; one flyable prototype and five production models. During the course of development of the prototype the efforts of the contractor to get the ultimate resolution from the system led to a degree of complexity that appeared undesirable. Accordingly, a second contract was let to Eastman Kodak for a flying prototype camera, using the philosophy of simple mechanical design, and accommodating the camera to the environment rather than changing the environment to suit the camera, as Perkin Elmer had done. At the same time a modification of the "B" camera was supported as a backup system to insure that one of the three types would be available for use in the OXCART vehicle. All three systems have met their design goals insofar as it has been possible to test them without having a vehicle that would provide the "high, hot and fast" environment for which they were designed. At present there are three Perkin Elmer cameras, three Eastman cameras, and one [redacted] "B" camera modified in various stages of delivery and flight test (see Tab 1). In February 1963, a contract was let to Hycon Manufacturing Company for three cameras of a design similar to the "B" cameras that are being used successfully in the IDEALIST program. This camera is of a proven design and promises a ground resolution equal to that of the Perkin Elmer system.

25X1A

ATT
1

2. Following is a brief analysis of each system:

a. Perkin Elmer Type I Camera

25X1D

25X1D

25X1D

25X1D

(1) This camera employs an [redacted] focal length [redacted] catadioptric lens with a four sided scanning mirror to sweep the image on to the film. [redacted] of thin base SO-132 film is used to cover an area of [redacted] square miles. This system has been flight tested twice in a C-123 and 19 times in the OXCART with no malfunctions that precluded successful photography. Measurements of ground, resolving

25X1A

2567-63

Page 2

25X1D

25X1D

25X1D

ILLEGIB

25X1D

25X1D

25X1D

power targets have been made at [redacted] lines per millimeter, which, at the [redacted] altitude that they were using, is better than [redacted] ground resolution. The average [redacted] is about [redacted] ground resolution. [redacted] be qualified if [redacted]

(a) The [redacted] increase in ground resolution simply due to being [redacted] closer to the target than operational altitude.

(b) The vehicle rate was less than half of design rate which would add resolution degradations of unknown magnitude due to thermal gradients across the photographic windows and possible boundary layer turbulence.

(c) The helium environment in the camera bay was not in for these tests nor was the hard vacuum window in. This might tend to degrade the resolution slightly.

25X1D

(d) Seeing conditions at [redacted] altitude are generally poorer than they are at higher altitudes.

25X1D

25X1D

(2) The Type I camera employs an optical system that delivers the same resolution across the full format that it does at the optical axis, a distinct advantage since much of the photography of interest may be [redacted] miles slant range from the vehicle and [redacted] degrees off axis of the lens.

(3) Some concern has been felt about the field maintenance, reliability and general mechanical complexity of this system for operational use, however, flight tests to date have revealed no cause for alarm. At the same time, a choice between two systems of equal intelligence gathering ability would invariably favor the simpler system.

25X1A

-2537-63

Page 3

b. Eastman Type II Camera

25X1D (1) This camera employs a [redacted] refractor
 25X1D lens of [redacted] lines/mm resolution at low contrast
 25X1D on axis that should give [redacted] ground
 25X1D resolution at altitude. Two rolls of 80-132 film,
 25X1D each [redacted] in length, give a range of [redacted] nautical
 25X1D miles and a coverage laterally of [redacted] nautical
 25X1D miles from [redacted] altitude. Since the system actually
 25X1D consists of two separate panoramic cameras giving
 25X1D convergent and redundant overlapping stereo
 25X1D coverage, an advantage is gained in examining areas
 25X1D that may be partially obscured with cloud cover.

(2) Mechanical simplicity and maintenance free design is a characteristic of the system; however, it will not provide as good ground resolution as the Perkin Elmer system. This shortcoming is partially overcome by a slightly longer focal length (larger scale) and in some respects better stereo coverage. Here, again, it is difficult to assess the ultimate capability of the system, since the environment in which it will be used operationally is not yet available for a dynamic test.

25X1A

c. [redacted] Type III Camera

(1) This is a "B" camera that has been modified to operate in the OXCART environment. Flight tests show that the modifications were successful and that satisfactory performance can be expected in the OXCART, however, it was never intended that an improved ground resolution might be achieved.

d. Hycon Type IV Camera

25X1D (1) This camera, in design and concept, follows the pattern of the "B" camera used as IDEALIST. It takes advantage of recent development in film transport, optical technology and vibration control. A [redacted] lens is employed to cover
 25X1D an [redacted] format at a ground resolution of better
 25X1D than [redacted]. A seven position mode consisting of 3
 25X1D right obliques, a vertical, and 3 left obliques
 25X1D provide [redacted] nautical miles of linear coverage and
 25X1D a swath width of [redacted] nautical miles. [redacted] has
 25X1D

25X1A

-2567-63

Page 4

been let to Hycon for three of these cameras, the first to be delivered for flight test in September 1963. Although this is a new and untried system, the major components have been built and tested on previous programs. Further, the basic concept is the same as the "B" camera that the contractor has had many years of experience with.

(2) The contractor's knowledge of and experience with the environmental problems of high speed aircraft is limited to a series of aerial photographic flight tests conducted in the X-15. These tests are considered atypical, since the X-15 could not maintain a speed and altitude comparable to the OXCART for a long enough time for thermal and aerodynamic stability. The camera used in the X-15 tests was not a high resolution nor long focal length system and the temperatures inside the bay were varying considerably.

3. a. In summary then, the Type I camera has so far delivered the best resolution in flight test and has shown a reliability during 19 flight tests beyond what one would have expected from a design of this complexity.

b. The Type II camera has demonstrated its reliability, but has had a lower ground resolution than the Type I.

c. The Type III camera is considered only as a back-up system.

d. Type IV appears to have a great potential for a high resolution system, but to date has not been demonstrated and will not be until September 1963.

e. Earliest high and hot test flights with camera packages will probably be July 1963. Allowing a month for test and evaluation of the Type I and Type II cameras, a decision might be made in August regarding additional camera procurements. Our OXCART camera assets at that time will be three Type I cameras and three Type II cameras providing no additional procurements or attritions occur in the interim. A minimum

25X1A

[REDACTED] 2567-63

Page 5

of ten months lead time is required for the production of additional cameras of either type. The initial lead time items are lenses, mirrors, and windows that are ordered from overseas sources and require four to five months for the delivery of the optical blanks of prescribed glass from which the elements are ground and polished.

25X1A

[REDACTED]

Development Division
(Special Activities)

PROJECT STATUS									
CAMERA		PROPOSAL	CONTRACT	DESIGN	FABRICATE	FINAL-ASSEMBLY	PRELIM-TEST	FLIGHT TEST	OPERATION
TYPE I	A							19	
25X10	PERKIN ELMER	B							
	PANORAMIC	C							
TYPE II	A							23	
25X10	DEASTAR	B							
	PANORAMIC	C							
TYPE III									
25X10	MOD.								
TYPE IV	A								
	HYGON	B							
25X10		C							
RESOLUTION		25X10		25X10					
DESIGN GOAL									
DEMONSTRATED									
LINE FORM	med.com	[REDACTED]							
FEET/GROUND	BOX								

25X1A

██████████ 2722-63

Copy 2

21 May 1963

Activity Program

OXC-63-6

Project Officer: ██████████

Ext. 5706

25X1A

REFERENCE: Memo to DD/R from AD/CSA, Subj: Recommendation for OXCART Camera Procurement ██████████ 2567-63/A) (Attached)


25X1A

1. Purpose - To initiate action to procure glass blanks and other long lead time items for the fifth and sixth Perkin Elmer cameras, and to authorize production of the fourth Perkin Elmer camera, since the glass elements for this camera are already on order.
2. Description of work or service required:

The following Perkin Elmer camera and long lead time items are required at this time:

Continue work on Perkin Elmer Camera #4

Long lead items - Glass Blanks for Perkin Elmer cameras #5 and #6



25X1A

3. Expected additional or related work will occur in Fiscal Year 1964 as follows:

Completion of camera #4
 Additional hardware cameras #5 & #6
 Completion of Cameras #5 & #6
 TOTAL



25X1A

4. Total estimated cost.

TOTAL

FY 1963
FY 1964

25X1A

SECRET

Handle via ██████████
Control System

25X1A

2722-63

5. Source of funds:

Funds are not available for this procurement from the CIA program, and therefore must be requested from the D/NRO.

Believe me now have funds. 10/1 NS

SIGNED

C/B&F/OSA

6. Delivery schedule or period of performance.

The fourth Perkin Elmer camera can be delivered in 10-12 months. The fifth camera - 12-15 months and the sixth camera - 13-16 months.

7. Remarks. None

25X1A

8. Signature of Requester:



for JOHN PARANGOSKY
D/TECH/OSA

APPROVED or Recommended for APPROVAL:

(Signed) Jack C. Ledford

JACK C. LEDFORD
Colonel, USAF
Assistant Director
(Special Activities)

Date

APPROVED *for use with funds already available.* or Recommended for APPROVAL:

Signed Herbert S. Scoville, Jr.
Deputy Director (Research)

27 MAY 1963

Date